Peer Evaluation

Name of Topic: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Presenter(s) Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Scoring: 2 = Outstanding, Impressive, Exceeds Expectations; Solid example of professional presentation/facilitated discussion; 1 = shows more strengths than weaknesses, but weaknesses detract; 0 = poor; does not meet standard as per descriptions below:**

A. **Content** – The presenter is knowledgeable, thorough, and comfortable in the presentation of the material covered.

2 1 0

B. **Pace** – The presenter moves along at a steady pace; not too fast, not too slow, and stays within the established time frame.

2 1 0

C. **Technology and/or Visual, Auditory, Kinesthetic/Tactile Aids** – The presenter makes use of technology, (e.g., through the use of power points, video clips, etc.) and/or handouts, or other teaching aids, taking into consideration the various styles of learning.

2 1 0

D. **Clarity** – The intent of the presentation and how it ties to the course as well as session theme is clear and easy to recognize.

2 1 0

E. **Professionalism** **–** Presenter demonstrates pride in self and work presented.

2 1 0

Total: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_[10 pts]

Additional Comments: